

HEADLEY PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of an Extra Ordinary Meeting held at

The Octagon, St Mary's Church, Headley at 4.30pm on Friday 9th December 2022

	In attendance	Apologies	Absent
Cllr David Preedy (Chair)	✓		
Cllr Jon Laverty	✓		
Cllr Jane Pickard	✓		
Cllr Paul Furr	✓		
Cllr Nick Woolley	✓		
Cllr Ben Jarvis	✓		

In attendance: Tracy Hamer (Clerk) taking the minutes (arrived 4.50pm), 8 members of the public. The meeting was chaired by ClIr Preedy.

Agenda Item	Issue	Actions
1	To receive and accept apologies of absence. Nil.	
2	To receive any declarations of interest relevant to items on this agenda. Nil.	
3	To discuss and confirm the decision on whether the Parish Council will initiate a Judicial Review in respect to Headley Court MO/2021/0871 RM appeal decision.	
	Cllr Preedy noted that there are 2 important decisions to take this evening and that the meeting is time limited as Headley Parish Council (HPC) must complete both items and leave the room before 6pm which is pre-booked by a separate hirer.	
	It was noted that members of the public do not have a right to speak; however, if anyone wishes to provide specific new information that is relevant to the decision, they should raise their hand and wait to be asked to speak at the Chair's discretion.	
	Following lengthy discussions with a planning solicitor and barrister during the week, the following information was summarised:	
	 The level of disclosure for individual donations is £3,000. Court papers would need to be lodged no later than 15/12/2022 to ensure time for filing/service before the challenge deadline of 20/12/2022. Costs to HPC are likely to total £8,000. Third-party costs will be potentially capped at £10,000 and HPC are advised to allow for this to be used in full. 	

- Angle Property contacted the Clerk on 6/12/2022 to enquire about the JR decisions so it can be surmised from this that they are ready to spend legal fees immediately.
- CrowdJustice is the most suitable platform to use. Its terms state:

Legislation that came into effect in the UK in April 2015 indicates that in judicial review cases, people who donate over a certain amount may have to be identified to the courts. That amount has been set at £3,000. This requirement could expose Backers who give over £3,000 to judicial reviews in certain instances to further costs risks. The requirement brought in by this legislation will exist whether you donate to a Case online or offline.

- The above confirms that the £3,000 disclosure limit is a legal requirement and applies equally to fund-raising.
- Richard Buxton Solicitors (RBS) advised that we would potentially be liable for 3rd party costs of up to £10,000 if HPC are refused ACP or the judge refuses the right to pursue the JR.
- RBS advised there is a window to withdraw without incurring further thirdparty costs.

The below outlines the sequence of events taken by HPC following the Extra Ordinary Meeting dated 5/12/2022.

- 6/12/2022 HPC instructed Richard Buxton Solicitors to start work and to brief John Darby as barrister to start preparing papers.
 As per the resolution on 5/12/2022 they were requested to cap charges at £5,000
- HPC requested a focus on instructing the barrister and securing answers to our key questions. HPC paid the sum of £1,750 to enable them to instruct the barrister.
- RBS advised us on 6/12/2022 that the disclosure limit was £3,000; HPC advised the intermediary who has approached us with a proposal for how the funds would be raised of this situation.
- Early on 7/12/2022 our intermediary informed us that the level of disclosure would prevent large donors from contributing or from underwriting the potential liabilities of the first stage.
- This led HPC to re-assess the risks of the initiative and raised a concern that HPC did not believe requirements for financial guarantees would be in place to cover the potential liabilities of this first phase to HPC.
- HPC decided that it would be imprudent to spend further public funds and instructed RBS to suspend further work. However, it was agreed to complete the work the barrister had started to advise HPC on the strength of the case.
- On 8/12/2022 we received the barrister's advice with the key information for today's decision:
 - o the prospects of success are low (25-30%)
 - the prospects of being granted permission to pursue the JR beyond this initial application are slightly higher (30-40%)
 - if the action were successful, it could amount to a pyrrhic victory; the decision would be quashed and remitted (probably to the same inspector) who might very well reach the same conclusions but addressing the errors found

	Members of Headley Parish Council were asked who was in favour of a Judicial Review and the unanimous decision was against a Judicial Review.	
4	To formally review and approve the HPC 2023/24 budget and precept requirement. Headley Parish Council resolved to approve the draft budget for 2023/24 (Appendix 1). The precept requirement for 2023/24 will see a 10% increase, raising to £15,600. The additional legal advice sought for Item 3 and totalling £2,000 has been taken from the Future project Reserve.	
	An additional sum of £1,000 has been added to Professional Fees for 2023/24	
	HPC agreed unanimously to offer the Clerk an ex-gratis payment of £250 to reflect the additional work she had put in undertaking research in the JR process.	
	The aforementioned items were proposed by Cllr Preedy, seconded by Cllr Laverty and unanimously agreed.	

To confirm the date of the next Parish Council Meeting at the Village Hall on Monday 30th January 2023 at 7.30pm.

There being no further business, the meeting closed at 5.20pm.

Dated

Signed	Chairman

Headley Parish Council			E	
Proposed 2023/24 Budget				
	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	
	Budget Actual	Budget Forecast	Budget 10% & reserves	
RECEIPTS				
Precept	13,500 13,500	14,175 14,175	15,600	
MVDC Concurrent Grant Bank Interest	850 862 7 3	850 888 7 6	850 7	
M&G	70 83	70 90	70	
Misc		- 6,766	-	
CIL	-		-	
Total income	£14,427 14,448	£15,102 21,925	16,527	
PAYMENTS				
Salaries	5,500 5,318	6,000 6,617	7,500	
Payroll Fees	120 85	120 120	120	
Clerks Expenses	25 -	25	25	
Chairmans Allowance	100 -	100 -	100	
Broadband Contribution Clerks Mileage	140 100 250 170	140 120 250 100	140 250	
IT Equipment, Software and Supplies	100 1,032	150 250	300	
Village Hall Rental	450 111	450 350	450	
SALC Membership	180 175	200 200	250	
SLCC Membership	150 171	175 175	200	
Subscriptions and Donations	130 100	130 5,658	130	
Insurance	700 887	950 1,050	1,100	
Internal Audit	200 120	220 129	220	
AGAR Fees ICO Annual Fee	40 40	40 40	- 40	
Satswana DPO Annual Fee	150 150	150 150	180	
ZOOM Annual Subscription	125 72	125 -	-	
Training	500 478	500 50	500	
Contested Election Costs	500 -		-	
Miscellaneous			-	
Playground Lease Rent	50 50	50 50	50	Appendix '
Projects	1,000 -		-	Appendix
Play Area weed spraying Play Area Annual Check	150 -	150 -	150	• •
Play Area Maintenace and New Equipment	2,000 79	1,500 100	1,500	
Strimming Costs	2,600 1,978	2,800 1,858	2,800	
Grit for Broome Close Bin	100 -	100 -	100	
Catering for AVM and village party	1,500 21	1,750 2,897	1,250	
Other public meeting refreshment costs	150 31	150 110	150	
Website Annual Hosting & Maintenance	300 321	400 480	400	
Contingencies & Small Works Traffic Calming Measures	300 319 7,000 1,300	300 1,175 3,500 -	300 3,500	
Newsletter	500 615	500 500	500	
Grants		1,500 250	1,500	
Professional fees		- 5,500	1,000	
Section 137 Fund	100 -	100 -	100	
Total out going	£25,110 £13,723	22,525 27,929	£24,805	
Balance	-£10,683 £725	-£7,423 -£6,004	-£8,278	
 .				
Closing reserves	4,000	4,000	4 000	•
Provision for Contested Election Costs Provision for Playground refurbishment	1,906	3,406	4,000 4,906	
Future Projects	5,166	3,166	3,166	
CIL Reserve	3,933	3,933	433	
Traffic calming				
General Reserve	16 010	10 506	4 270	
General Reserve Total	16,010 31,015	10,506 25,011	4,228 16,733	
rotai	31,013	23,011	10,733	1
Savings account	28,945	23,011	14,733	Ī
Current account	2,070	2,000	2,000	
TOTAL	31,015	25,011	16,733	
Precept cover	118.6%	74.1%	27.1%	-
Band D	38.87	40.05	44.83	